

BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

---

In re Intelius Inc. Post-Transaction  
Marketing and Sales Litigation

MDL Docket No.

To: Clerk of the Panel  
Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation  
Thurgood Marshall Federal Judicial Building  
One Columbus Circle, N.E., Room G-255, North Lobby  
Washington, D.C. 20002-8004

**NOTICE OF APPEARANCE**

In compliance with 5.2(c) of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the following designated attorney is authorized to receive service of all pleadings, notices, orders, and other papers relating to practice before the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on behalf of the defendants listed below. I am aware that only one attorney can be designated for each party.

Name and Address of Designated Attorney

Arthur W. Harrigan, Jr.  
Danielson Harrigan Leyh & Tollefson LLP  
999 Third Avenue, Suite 4400  
Seattle, WA 98104  
Telephone: (206) 623-1700  
Fax: (206) 623-8717

Represented Parties:

Intelius Inc. and Intelius Sales Company LLC

Short Case Captions (for those cases pending in federal court in which plaintiffs purport to have served defendants Intelius Inc. and Intelius Sales LLC):<sup>1</sup>


*Denise Baxter v. Intelius Inc., et al.*, No. 8:09-cv-01031-AG-MLG (C.D. California)

*Bruce Keithly, et al. v. Intelius Inc., et al.*, No. 2:09-cv-01485-RSM (W.D. Wash)

DATED this 16<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2009.

DANIELSON HARRIGAN LEYH & TOLLEFSON LLP

By



Arthur W. Harrigan, Jr., WSBA #1751  
Tyler L. Farmer, WSBA #39912  
Attorneys Defendants  
Intelius Inc. and Intelius Sales Company LLC

Danielson Harrigan Leyh & Tollefson LLP  
999 Third Avenue, Suite 4400  
Seattle, WA 98104  
Telephone: (206) 623-1700

<sup>1</sup> By providing this Notice of Appearance to the Clerk of the Panel, Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, Intelius Inc. and Intelius Sales Company LLC does not waive, and hereby preserves, all defenses in actions to which this MDL proceeding relates, including defenses and objections based on personal jurisdiction and lack of proper service of process.